## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI SOUTHERN DIVISION

BROWN & WILLIAMSON TOBACCO CORPORATION,
Plaintiff,

**VERSUS** 

**CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:95CV76GG** 

MERRELL WILLIAMS, ET AL, Defendants.

## DEPOSITION OF MERRELL WILLIAMS Taken September 16 & 17, 1996

(Condensed Version with Index)

Reported by Monica Schroeder Schroeder & Burdine 718 Delmas Avenue Pascagoula, Mississippi 39567 (601) 762-1035 (800) 765-8636



17

2 MR. ROYALS: Yesterday afternoon, around 5:00, we recessed and I made the observation Mr. Williams was getting\_tired.

10 Friday or Saturday, are you saying that was a mistake 11 or a fraud? Is that a fair statement of the 12 question? 13 MR. SMITH: 14 I believe it is. 15 MR. ROYALS: Approximately. 16 17 MR. DURHAM:

25

11

12 13

14 15 16

17

19

20 21

Except for Saturday and Sunday. 18 19 MR. ROYALS A weekend day. He thought you were somehow saying that he was committing a fraud with 22 the time record, and therefore, there was no communication and no answer to the question. That's 24 the reason I asked for-- but he wanted that cleared 25 up, that that's how he understood the question, that

to go to Mr. Leach's office. He was closed. It was 12 just not a convenient time.

13 Q. You don't have your tax returns?
14 A. You asked the question. I answered it.
15 Q. And you don't have any-- you told me
16 yesterday you had Island Wind Sailing documents at your home.

 That is not exactly the case. I did not I found that I did not. And I was pretty tired yesterday. If you will bear with me, you may have them, and Mr. Royals will take care of that later 21 on. 22

 Q. That's fine. The reason I had hoped to
 have them today is I had hoped to complete the 25 deposition during this; however-- this session, but

```
Condenselt 154
 B&W v. Williams
                                                                                                                                                                                         Merrell Williams

    this deposition is part of that lawsuit. And it's a
    serious lawsuit. And I think this thing over last
    night, and I am sitting here under a Kentucky order

 A. Peter Herring.

   Q. You said yesterday that you began taking documents when you found—when you saw a document or
      documents that you believed indicated there had
                                                                                                                      that keeps me from finding out what the helf we are
       been-- I believe the words you used, payoffs to Congress. Do you recall that testimony?

    talking about.
    When you're asking him about these

            A. Yes, sir.
                                                                                                                      documents, you can say something about them but not
 Q. And payoffs to the FTC, correct?
A. Yes, sir, in the amount of $50,000.
Q. Without revealing in any way the contents
of the document or documents that you saw that you
                                                                                                                     what they really are. I can't defend this man in
                                                                                                                 9 this lawsuit. I can't make strategy decisions about 10 what we're to do and what we're not to do.
                                                                                                                So I'm going to direct him to not answer
to any of those questions, and if you want to compel, I
think we just need to go before a judge down here and
we give are going to be able to defend our lawsuit
 12 believed showed that, I want you to identify those
13 documents. That is, were they a letter, were they a
 14 memo to, from and date. I do not want you to say
 15 anything about the contents of the documents. I
                                                                                                                 15 or if we are going to have one hand tied behind us or

    simply want the identification of the document.
    A. Would that mean the person to whom the

                                                                                                                 16 what.
                                                                                                                 17
                                                                                                                     MR. SMITH
      payoff was made and from whom it was made?
Q. It would simply mean my ability to
                                                                                                                18 Well, I-- you know, that's certainly your 19 prerogative and we'll go in front of the judge if we
 18
 20
                                                                                                                20 need to. The question right now is, simply, the
21 document that you related that told you there had
22 been a payoff to Congress, who wrote that document?
      identify the document, who wrote it, to who it was
 21 to, who it was from, the date and the type of 22 document. That's all I want. I don't want to know
 23 anything about the contents of the document.
                                                                                                                23 MR. ROYALS:
                                                                                                                And I am going to direct him not to answer any questions about those documents until such time
      MŘ. ROYALS:
 25
                 Let me say something here on the record.
                                                                                                                                                                                                              Page 239

    It looks to me like we are going to have to have some
    clarification. I don't see how you can ask a witness

                                                                                                                     as we've got permission from somebody or
clarification that he can answer completely.
   3 to give you an incomplete answer and not put the
                                                                                                                  3 MR. SMITH:
      whole truth of the matter out on the record. Tell me
                                                                                                                                Okay. And so we won't waste time, let me
  5 all I want you to tell me but don't tell me anything
6 I don't want you to tell me. Partially identify the
7 document just enough that I think I know what it is,
                                                                                                                     ask a bunch of questions and have you instruct.
                                                                                                                                Can we agree that any question relating to
                                                                                                                     following up on identification of these documents he talked about, you would instruct him not to answer?
 8 but don't identify it so the court and the rest of 9 the attorneys will know what it is. I don't think 10 you can do that in a lawsuit. I've never been in a 11 lawsuit where you were allowed to do that.
                                                                                                                9 MR. ROYALS:
10 Yes. And I am going to ask him to not
11 answer any questions at all about the documents from
12 here on out, any questions.
 12 MR CARROLL:
                 We agree and we join in the objection. I
 13
                                                                                                                13 MR SMITH:
                                                                                                               Even questions that have nothing to do 15 with the contents of the documents?

16 MR. ROYALS:
14 think it's a form of the question objection.
15 MR. SMITH:
                 That's not-- we--
16
17 MR. ROYALS:
                                                                                                                                That's right. Because I-- it puts me in
                 I don't think it is a form of the question
                                                                                                               18 an untenable position, in trying to defend him in
19 this lawsuit. We are talking about something-
19 objection. I think it's an objection to this
     procedure. I think an election has to be made that
the witness can answer the questions or the witness
                                                                                                               20 documents that I don't know what they are, and if I
21 want him to explain one of your questions, to
22 amplify, to clarify for the record or whatever, I
22
     can't
23
     MR. SMITH
                                                                                                                    don't even know what the documents are and I can't do
Well, I don't think either of us have the option of making that election. I am trying to
                                                                                                                    that
                                                                                                                                If there is a -- the whole tenor of the
                                                                                              Page 237
                                                                                                                                                                                                             Page 240
     protect this man from breaching the injunction that
                                                                                                                    thing is that he's stealing documents and so forth,
     he is under, which prohibits him from talking about
                                                                                                                    Unless I know what the documents are, we just sit
                                                                                                                 3 here and take a beating under that allegation of
4 theft, with no opportunity to say that these
5 documents were being used in the commission of a
  3 the contents of the documents at issue. It has
 4 nothing to do with anything—trying to hide anything
5 or do anything else. I'm trying to protect him. But
6 just like when you do a privilege log, you can
7 identify a document without revealing the contents of
8 it. That's how we do it under the law. And I am
                                                                                                                    crime; therefore, were in the nature of contraband; therefore, did not constitute theft. I don't think
                                                                                                                    any judge is going to make us try this lawsuit like
9 asking him who the document was to, who it was from
10 and what kind of document it was and the date of it.
                                                                                                                    that.
                                                                                                               10 MR. CARROLL:
11 Yes. I-- Just for the record, we don't
11 MR. ROYALS.
I think we are going to have to go before

I think we are going to have to go before

Mr. Judge Guirola or Judge Gex or somebody down here

and get a clarification. I don't see how we can
                                                                                                               12 have the authority to tell Mr. Williams-- we, as
13 representing Mr. Scruggs and M&S don't have the
14 authority to tell Mr. Williams not to answer
15 defend a lawsuit where a Kentucky court has got us
16 strapped from letting our witness give a complete
                                                                                                               15 questions, but we don't believe that it is fair or
                                                                                                               16 appropriate for us to participate in a Mississippi
17 lawsuit constrained by an injunction from a state
18 judge in Kentucky, in a proceeding to which we were
19 not and are not parties. And so we join in the
17 answer.
18 MR. CARROLL:
19
                I agree with that.
20 MR. SMITH:
                                                                                                               20 objection.
I am not asking-I am asking the questions and I am not asking for anything but
                                                                                                                    And I would like to request that we get
the court to tell us how we should proceed from
                                                                                                               21
     identification.
                                                                                                               23 here. I mean, I think that's the easiest solution to
24
     MR. ROYALS:
                                                                                                               24 the problem.
                Well, I'm defending a lawsuit, Gordon, and
25
                                                                                                               25 MR. SMITH:
```

Page 245

Page 246

```
Well, we have, of course, produced the
      documents to you, so there is no confusion about what
     documents we are dealing with. So I don't know what
     your objection is.
     MR. ROYALS:
Well, I don't know if those have been
And most of the
     produced are the right ones. And most of the documents have not been produced. Most of the
9 documents are under a privilege log of some sort.
10 But then you're asking about, I guess those documents
11 that are contained under your own privilege log and
12 asking Mr. Williams to tell you some of the things
13 about those documents but not all of the things.
14 We answered questions for a good eight
15 hours yesterday, or from 9:00 until 5:00, minus the
     breaks, in an attempt to complete this deposition or try to complete it and answer questions. But I don't
18 think we can go any further about-- Well, I've
19 already said it. No need to repeat what I've said.
20
     MR. SMITH:
21
                 Do you guys want to talk?
22 MR. ROYALS:
                 Let's take a break and talk for a minute.
24
     MR. SMITH:
                 You've stated your position. I understand
25
```

Page 242

1 your position. Obviously, we have a disagreement.

2 But as to follow-up questions on how did you copy,

3 where did you go to copy, where did you keep them has

4 nothing to do-- contents have nothing to do with

5 that, and I would hope and believe that you wouldn't

6 stop me from going into the logistics of what he did

7 versus anything to do with the documents, themselves,

8 or the contents of them.

9 MR ROYALS:

1 think I will stop you from going into

I think I will stop you from going into
anything about the documents because this deposition
could be used in a trial. It's a discovery
deposition. But let's assume the witness were
unavailable or something like that, this deposition
could be used in a trial. This witness is not having
a chance to fully explain his position. He's being
made to look bad on the record with no chance,
whatever, to explain his position. I slapped my wife
with no chance to explain that she was running into

20 the burning house.
21 And we just go hour, hour, hour after hour
22 with-- and he doesn't get to tell his side of the
23 thing because of some Kentucky court that's got him
24 under an injunction and what that-- what that ain't
25 is fair. It's just not fair to him to have to go

1 through that. It's not fair to the court not to have
2 all the information.
3 MR. SMITH:
4 Just to make it clear, any question with

Just to make it clear, any question with regards to his removal of the documents, copy of the documents, transfer of the documents, discussion of the documents with anybody else, delivery of the documents, anything to do with the documents, you're not going to let him talk about today?

MR. ROYALS:

No, sir, not unless we can talk about what the documents were.

13 MR SMITH:

Okay. I am just trying to make sure what your position is, and I've stated it fairly?

16 MR ROYALS: 17 Yes, sir.

18 MR. SMITH:
19 So I'm not going to ask any of those
20 questions. I am not going to waste the time and we
21 can agree that we have that conflict and I don't have
22 to ask those questions. We've stated it fairly
23 enough that we can take it to the court and deal with
24 it. Can we agree on that?
25 MR. CARROLL:

We can agree on that. (Off the record.) MR. ROYALS: I don't want to beat the record to death and repeat what I've said, and I won't, but I'm not going to-- I'm going to advise my client not to answer any questions about the documents, period, until such time as we have clarification on the 9 motion for criminal contempt pending against him in 10 Kentucky on the theory that the plaintiffs cannot be 11 in a position of compelling him to do what he should 12 do in this court; that is to say, answer questions, 13 while those same -- the answers to those same 14 questions put him in criminal contempt in another Secondly, I don't think my client should to give limited answers about documents 18 and not be able to explain the whole thing, what the documents were, what the contents were, why he thinks they are evidence of fraud or crime. And the reasons for that are, that this is 22 a proceeding. It's a deposition, but nevertheless, 23 it is a court proceeding. This testimony could be 24 used in evidence before a jury, and I don't think 25 this kind of trial, by ambush or constraint, is going

1 to be tolerated by the courts. I hope not. That's
2 my position.
3 MR CARROLL:
4 Let me just state our position—
5 MR SMITH:
6 Could I just clarify one thing before you
7 do that? You mentioned he shouldn't be required to
8 testify because of the criminal contempt issue.

9 Essentially, you're saying you would have him take
10 the Fifth. Is that your point?
11 MR ROYALS:
12 I suppose I would do it that way. I would
13 do it on that basis and on the basis of the Fifth,
14 and also the basis of just his fairness of his

14 and also the basis of just his fairness of his 15 ability to defend himself in this case.
16 MR. SMITH:
17 I understood those two grounds. But I 18 want to make clear that the first one was a 19 self-incrimination point you were making.

20 MR ROYALS:
21 I would probably raise the Fifth. To be
22 quite honest with you, at this point, I don't know
23 whether the Fifth covers that kind of quasi criminal
24 action or not. I'll look into it. At this time, I

24 action or not. I'll look into it. At this time, I
25 can, in good faith, raise it subject to further

Page 243

1 looking into it. 2 MR. CARROLL:

I just wanted to say, for the record,
because I think we will obviously be before a court
somewhere in the near future on this. I just want to
say, for the record, on behalf of our clients, that
we obviously can not—are not in a position to
direct Mr. Williams to answer or not to answer
questions; however, our objection to the procedure is
somewhat broader.

We think that it's unfair to us for this
witness to be asked questions and be constrained from
answering questions, follow-up questions about the
documents that we might want to ask him by Kentucky
injunction.

For instance, we have pleaded the crime fraud exception to the claimed privilege. Whether these documents evidence crime fraud or not would need to be developed through this witness who presently cannot do that because of the Kentucky injunction and the criminal proceeding.

So we think for this matter to go forward,

23 these issues need to be clarified by the court 24 because otherwise, we are going to have to go forward 25 with one hand cuffed behind us, and I don't think the

R& A	V v. Williams	Cond	lCi	Merrell Merrell	William:
		Page 247		A. The same of the state of the	Page 250
I M	ississippi federal courts are going to make us do		1 2	A. I'm correct in that,	
	at. I could be wrong. R. SMITH:		3	Q. But you own this house free and clear of any liens or mortgages, correct?	
4	Just to clarify the record and shorten the		4	A. You've asked that, I answered it.	
5 pr	occedings, I am going to go over the subject areas		5	Q. I didn't ask liens. That's a different	
6 11	and intended to go into, and if you can confirm you		6		
8 W	ouldn't let him go into this, we can go on.		7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
•	I understand you will not allow him to swer questions about copying and removing the		0	Q. And there is no document of any kind evidencing any debt on the house, correct?	
10 do	cuments from his place of work, correct?		10		
11 M	R. ROYALS:		11	Q. Did you have a discussion with Mr. Scrugg	S
12	Correct.			about the possibility of you purchasing a house?	
	R. SMITH:		13		
14	Or storing the documents, recovering them		14		
16 05	om storage, delivering the documents to Mr. Scruggs others, you won't let him testify about that.	•	16	Mr. Scruggs' office about the possibility of you purchasing a house?	
	R. ROYALS:		17		
18	Correct.		18		
	R. SMITH:		19	purchase a house?	
20	Let me just state for the record that even		20		
21 11	our position even if the Fifth Amendment applies		21		
73 1/4	re, it has clearly been waived by his testimony sterday and his prior testimony, and I would cite		23	purchased a house?  A. I didn't. M&S Enterprises purchased the	
24 the	case of Rogers versus United States, 341-US912			house.	
25 for	that position.		25		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Dage 248	-		D 261
1	And, secondly, this is a fact discovery	Page 248	l 1	related to M&S about the purchase of a house for	Page 251
2 de	position, in which we are asking questions, and we			beneficial use?	, , ,
3 are	the ones that get to ask the questions on our		3	A. Yes.	
4 Sic	le, and there is no basis for instructing not to		4	Q. And who did you talk to?	
5 an	swer on questions that I am asking on the basis		5	A. Mr. Scruggs.	
	at you have stated. I understand you've made that sition. I am just stating our position. We can go		7	Q. So you did talk to Mr. Scruggs about getting a house for your use?	
8 On			8	A. I've answered that question.	
	L ROYALS:		9	Q. And what was the discussion that you had?	
10	Okay.		10	A. I would like to lease a house.	
II ME	L SMITH:		11	Q. All right. And when did you have this	
12	Q. You live at [DELETED]			conversation with him?	
13 14	A. Yes. Q. When did you move into that house?		13	A. I don't remember.	
15	A. Approximately July of 1994.			Q. Well, it was prior to April of 1994, correct? Or prior to—perhaps in April?	
16	Q. Do you own the house?		16	A. One moment, please. Prior to April, sir?	
17	A. I own the house in the sense of it's in my	,	17	Q. When did you have this conversation?	
18 nai			18	A. Could you give me the date again, please?	
19 20	Q. If you went to the		20	Prior to April	
	A. It's deeded to me. Q. There is no mortgage on the house, is			Q. Well, I believe an offer for the house was made on April 26th, 1994. And I guess I was	
22 the	are?		22	suggesting that it was probably prior to that.	
	A. No.		23	A. Could you repeat the question, please?	
24	Q. And the house was deeded to you from M&S?			The one that you asked me exactly, prior to April	
25	A. M&S Enterprises, yes.		25	what?	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Page 249			Page 252
	Q. And did you pay any money to M&S when they	7	1	Q. Well, I'll just ask another question.	
2 tra	nsferred the house to you?  A. No.	j	2	A. Do you want me to answer the first one?  Q. I want you to answer what I'm getting	
	Q. Have you ever made any payment of any kind	1	3	ready to ask. Okay? When did you have a discuss	sion
5 on	the [DELETED]	1		with Mr. Scruggs regarding purchase-the purchase	
6	A. I made a \$1,500 payment and a \$1,000	1	6	a house or the lease of a house for your use?	
7 pay	yment.	1	7	A. I don't remember.	
	Q. That was earnest money?	ļ	8	Q. Was it prior to April 26, 1994?	
9 10	A. Yes. Q. And where did you get the \$1,500 and the	-	10	A. One moment, please. To the best of my recollection, no.	
ii \$1,	Q. And whate did you get the \$1,500 and the 0000?		11	Q. Did you talk to anyone involved with M&S	
	A. It came from the \$3,000 that was	ļ		or Mr. Scruggs or his law firm prior to making an	
13 ori;	ginally loaned to me.			offer on the house with regard to the house?	
14	Q. And that \$3,000 was a loan in cash to you	İ	14	A. I don't remember.	
12 110	m Mr. Scruggs?		15	Q. Well, did you go look for a house before	-
	A. That's correct. Q. For which there is no note, correct?	1	17	you had any arrangement or understanding with M Scruggs or M&S with regard to them assisting you	in
	A. That's correct.	ł	18	obtaining this house?	<del></del>
	Q. And for which there has never been any	ľ	19	A. I had, at some point, mentioned that I	
20 r <del>ep</del>	ayment made?		20	would like to lease a house. And I don't remember	
	A. To date, no.			the date. The reasons were my children were comi	
	Q. Is that \$3,000 loan, is that \$3,000 amount			and my wife was coming down in August. I don't	•
	orted on any of your tax returns?  A. As it is a loan, it is not.		23 24	remember exactly the date.  Q. Were there any discussions about you going	
	Q. Are you sure about that?			to look for a house with anyone at M&S or Scrugg	s'
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				_

Q. Now, did you have these discussions with Mr. Scruggs and Ms. Bosarge before you started actually looking for a house?

A. I may have had one discussion with Mr. Scruggs. I don't know if I had a discussion with Charlene Bosarge. Q. When were those discussions and what were those discussions? Q. You did not have the financial ability to purchase this house, did you? I don't remember dates. A. No.
Q. Did you use a real estate agent?
A. I did.
Q. Who is that? Q. Well, give me the gist of those discussions and who they were with. 11 A. The neighborhood was the one that I 12 presently live in, and the house ranges are anywhere 13 from \$80,000 to \$130,000. 12 Q. Who is that?
A. Century 21, Paul Dennis.
Q. When did you start looking for a house?
A. If you will give me-- I cannot give you an exact date. I don't know.
Q. Well, how long before you offered a contract-- how long before that had you been looking? 13 Q. My question is, what discussions did you
15 have with Mr. Scruggs or anyone with M&S or his law
16 firm with regards to how much the value of the house
17 you were looking at should be?
18 A. I don't remember exactly.
19 Q. Well, give me the gist of the conversation
20 or conversations.
21 A. I was prepared to sign a lease for 14 18 19 looking?
20 A. Wait a minute. Wait a minute. I think I
21 can give you a date. Approximately around April
22 27th, somewhere in there.
23 Q. Well, as I understand it, you made a cash
24 offer for a house on April 26th.
25 A. That may have been the date. A. I was prepared to sign a lease for property that M&S would purchase, and essentially, the house would have to be in good condition, and would have to be resalable and that would have to be 25 in a safe neighborhood. Page 254 There were certain limitations on that, and it would have to be approximately in the
 neighborhood of the house that I currently occupy. before-A. One day. 4 O. And were you given a price range that you sould look for when you went out to look for a 6 house? (Off the record.) MR. SMITH: Q. So you only looked for houses for a day; is that your testimony?

A. One day, and it was less than a day. But 10 hours.

6 nouse?
7 A. No, not a price range.
8 Q. Were you authorized to go look for a house
9 for your use by Mr. Scruggs or M&S?
10 A. I believe M&S wanted to invest
11 approximately between \$100,000 and \$130,000.
12 Q. How did you know that?
13 A. I inquired it to Mr. Scruggs.
14 Q. That's the number that Mr. Scruggs
15 suggested to you would be appropriate?
16 A. I believe that's my recollection. I don't A. I believe that's my recollection. I don't 17 18 Q. And were all of the conversations with regard to the kind of house, the location of the house, the price of a potential house, were those conversations had with Mr. Scruggs or anyone else? 20

21 A. There may have been with Charlene Bosarge. I don't remember exactly.
Q. As well as with Mr. Scruggs?
A. Possibly. 23 24 25

1 Q. Well, you've already talked about some 2 conversations with Mr. Scruggs, have you not, about 3 the value of the house, for instance?

A. Have I answered the question?

Q. Well, the last answer you gave would lead

me to believe that you only may have had

conversations with Mr. Scruggs. I simply want to

confirm that you, indeed, did have conversations with

Mr. Scruggs with regard to potentially obtaining a 10 house for your use. A. For my lease, yes.
Q. Now, you've said lease several times.

There has never been a lease on that house, has

15 A. Not to my knowledge.
16 Q. And you've never made a lease payment,
17 have you?

 Not to my knowledge. Q. So, in fact, the house wasn't bought to be leased, right? 19 20

A. No, sir. It was bought to be leased.

Why wasn't it leased? I have no answer for that. 22 23 24 You don't?

The answer is, I don't know.

Q. How many days did you look for a house

within the terms of hours, probably less than 24 Q. Okay.
A. Prior to my making an earnest money—
Q. Now, if this was to be—this was a house 12

14 that was to be bought by someone and leased to you,

14 that was to be bought by someone and leased to you
15 as you understood the transaction going in16 A. Correct. What is the question? I'm
17 sorry?
18 Q. Well, I thought I had asked and you
19 answered it. As you were looking for a house, you

understood it was to be a house purchased by someone to be leased to you? A. That's correct.

(Exhibit 5 was marked.) 24 MR. SMITH:

Q. Let me show you what I've marked as

1 Exhibit 5, which is a "K" Realty Limited sales 2 contract. Do you recognize this?
3 A. Exhibit 5? I recognize it. May I have a 4 moment, here?

Page 255

Q. Sure.
A. Okay.
Q. Now, you signed this contract as the buyer, did you not?
A. I did.
Q. And that's inconsistent with your.

Q. And that's inconsistent with your understanding that the house was not to be bought by

12 you but by someone else.

13 A. Not exactly. I explained to them and they
14 knew well enough, and I repeated it often enough that
15 this house was to be leased by me. I repeated it to
16 the person who I was buying it from, leasing it. I
17 was not paying for it. I did not want my credit
18 references to be identified in this because I could

18 references to be identified in this because I could
19 not buy a house. It was obvious that I couldn't buy
20 a house. And I didn't want them doing a-- taking my
21 money and saying, well, you can't buy a house.
22 So essentially, Mr. Dennis was aware that
23 I was leasing the house and so was Ruth Gordon or
24 whatever her name was.

whatever her name was.

Q. Ruth Nelson; is that--

Page 257

Page 258

16 put? 17

18

20

Q. It could be used for whatever you saw fit, 19 correct?

That's how I understood it.

Q. Now, there is a social security number next to your name on the second page of the sales contract. As I read that, it says 463— I believe that looks like 04 to me, 6, maybe, 201.

A. That's correct.

11 this was 8:30 in the morning, and I was leaving for 12 Jackson, and I believe for Kentucky. Yes. I believe 13 I did go to Kentucky. And I didn't hear anything 14 back for some time. I don't remember the dates. 15 Q. Did you fax-- I'm sorry. What did you 16 tell Ms. Bosarge in this communication, whether it was by fax or by phone? 17 was by fax or by phone?

18 A. I don't recall exactly, except there was a
19 house. It would be purchased at this particular
20 price. Would you care to purchase it for investment for the lease. O. That's the gist of what you told her?
A. I think that's what I said. I don't 24 remember Q. And that was to Ms. Bosarge?

24

children.

Q. Mr. Williams, I--

Now, the net from buyer at closing was \$107,568.25. Do you see that?

How was that paid at the closing?

20 back so I can try to keep the marked ones.

A. That's correct.

A. I have no idea.

12 by a major studio. It could be a lot more and we 13 would expect a lot more. Q. Is the \$15,000, have you received any other money for any types of intellectual property rights with regard to you or your life story?

18 Q. That's the total amount that you've 19 received for book, movie, magazine, any rights to 20 your story?

A. To date. 22 MR. SMITH:

Can we take a short break? (Off the record.) 25 MR. SMITH:

15 actually the acceptance date.
16 A. Well, now, was that the \$1,500 or the
17 \$1,000? I don't remember what-18 Q. Well, I don't really care. Let me ask you

19 this question.
20 A. Well, let me see what we are talking about the question. I'm confused. Q. Let me ask you another question. I don't 22

want you to be confused. 23 24 A Okay.

Q. Let me ask you this question. From the

take place?
A. Yes.
Q. How did you know that? 19 I think that there was a discussion about the Meyers leaving on the 1st of June or something like that, and that it was their preference or something like that, I don't know. But Paul Dennis

19 thereafter. And what was that about? 21 A. The substance of the conversation was, I
22 walked into his office, and I said, do you know who I
23 am? He said, no. Well, uh, yes.
24 And I said, you don't know who I am? And
25 he said, no. And I said, do you recognize my voice?

arranged it.

15 Q. Did he say that he didn't tell the truth
16 to this man who came to see him?
17 A. What he told me was that he apparently was
18 not telling the truth to-- in that allegation. 19 through your allegations. In other words, I left 20 with the distinct impression that the allegations

Q. Did he indicate that he had not said those allegations? 24

A. He did so, sir.
(Exhibit 7 was marked.)

14 for movie rights, and usually, these offers are not-15 well, they weren't small. They were very-they were 16 very, very-- they got larger as they went along, but 17 there was a-- there was a sense that there was an 18 effort that I could, at some point, pay this back, 19 and I was thinking in terms of five years.

20 So that's my answer. 21

Q. My question that led to that was, what did you and Mr. Scruggs discuss with regard to this house being deeded to you? Were all of those things that 24 you just stated to me things that you discussed with 25 Mr. Scruggs?

Schroeder & Burdine (800) 765-8636

Page 289 - Page 294

24

Was it after you moved into the house? Possibly. 16 You don't remember? 17 I've answered your question. Well, you said possibly. Do you have a 18 10 recollection or not? 20 A. Possibly. I don't remember.
Q. Could it have-- it could have been before you moved in then? A. I don't recall.

5 deed the house to you?
6 A. I don't know. I might have spoken with
7 Charlene, and I just don't recall exactly how it
8 happened. But we were meeting at a certain place. I
9 believe it was Wendy's, signed at lunch or
10 something. No big deal.
11 Q. So it's your testimony that you don't
12 remember how you learned that someone was geing to
13 deed a \$109,000 house to you? You don't recall?
14 A. I don't recall exactly, no.
15 Q. Now, you've described a conversation with
16 Mr. Scruggs where you told him the reasons that you
17 should have this house in your name. Was that one
18 conversation or more than one conversation? 18 conversation or more than one conversation? A. It was one conversation. I think it was very short. I don't remember when. 20 Q. Was it by phone or in person?
A. I think it was by phone.
Q. And were you all calling from the house?
A. Which house? 21 22 23

The Diller Road house.

Q. After he agreed to deed it to you, what

what you've told me earlier, that the insurance on 14 the house initially was in the name of M&S because
15 they were the owners initially, correct?
16 A. I don't know.
17 Q. Well, do you know whether the house was 18 insured when you moved in it? A. I don't.
Q. You had no insurance in your name because 20

Do you have insurance on the house now?

I have wind pool insurance on the house.

12 lines and not be able to go into the documents. I 13 simply don't want-- I didn't want anyone to argue 14 later that I should have gone into it today, and 15 therefore can't go into them at some later date, and I just wanted to make sure that we didn't have an 17 issue there. 18 MR. ROYALS I don't think we do. I think if it's 20 about the documents, I would instruct him not to 21 answer. MR. SMITH: 23 Okay. That's good. 24 MR SMITH:

Q. Back to the house again. We're still on

you weren't the owner at the time, correct?

That's correct.

You have what?

23

24

11 insignificant, and to the point that you're-- you
12 might say that it was thoughtless, but that's what I
13 did. I did not knock, as I recall. That's my best
14 recollection. I wasn't trying to-15 Q. What did this lady look like?

A. Now that I connect tell you at all. Sho Now, that, I cannot tell you at all. She 17 looked like a typical real estate agent, perhaps in 18 her mid 30s, perhaps in her 40s. I don't know. She 19 was married. She was a blonde. She might have been

20 a brunette. She might have been a red-head. She was 21 well-dressed, as real estate agents normally are. 22 She might have been on the lower end of the pool or 23 the higher end. I don't know. But she was just

24

25 MR. SMITH:

was in charge.

Q. Well, my question is very simple. You were available, but you never did any work for Ardoin individually, did you?

A. I'm sorry. Would you repeat the question? Q. Yes. You say you were available to do work, but you never did any work for Ardoin 16 17 individually, did you?

A. If I've answered that question--18 19 Q. I'm asking it now. MR. ROYALS: 21 I'm going to object to the form of the question and I'll tell you why. Any work could be being available. I think that's the confusion. 22

working there.

Q. Where did she sit in the office?

```
O. You described yesterday that you were available had you been called on, but that you weren't called on, correct, by the firm of Ardoin &
                                                                                                                              My mother also lived there in Jackson. I knew that Lexington was not very far from there. I
       Tanct?
                                                                                                                                   was familiar with his work in the Horton case. I had
  5 A. I was not called upon.
6 Q. And I'm just trying to clarify. I asked
7 the question yesterday, in terms of the firm. I'm
8 simply trying to confirm now that you don't do work,
                                                                                                                               5 followed it. I admired him very much. I still do.
                                                                                                                               6 And I was in the vicinity on a later date.
7 Because of that facts and Mr. Barrett, the
                                                                                                                               s nature of the-- the nature of the facts dealt with a
                                                                                                                             9 meeting. I specifically stated to Mr. Barrett, I
10 would like a meeting with you for purposes of
       not for the firm, but for one or the other of the
 10 individuals.
                                                                                                                             11 conversation, socially
             A. You mean individually?
            Q. Right.
A. No.
                                                                                                                             12 And he either faxed me or-- I don't recall
13 whether he faxed me. I think there was a telephone
 12
 13
      Q. Okay. And the same would be true of an entity called the Asbestos Law Center; have you ever
                                                                                                                             14 conversation, maybe, in which we were concerned that
                                                                                                                             15 there was wire tapping on it, and Mr. Barrett had
16 been through that before. He had been shot at and he
 15
      heard of that?
 16
                                                                                                                             17 explained that to me.
                  Would the same answer would be so.
                                                                                                                             During the period of time that he was 19 trying his cases, he mentioned some of the things
 18
 19
      Q. Did I understand you earlier in the deposition to say that you understood M&S to be an entity that Mr. Scruggs used to purchase real
                                                                                                                            20 that he had gone through. He basically sympathized 21 with me, I think.
                                                                                                                            And I said that I would be coming down to
Mississippi in the fax. He faxed back and said, come
      estate?
24
25
            A. You understood correctly, yes.Q. Do you know what M&S-- do you know if M&S
                                                                                                                             24 on down. Let's have a drink. And the normal place
                                                                                                                             25 to go is-- in north Jackson is a place called Irv's
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    Page 329
      stands for anything?

A. No, I don't. It stands for a-- do you mean the initials?
                                                                                                                                  Delicatessen, also known as the Old Time
                                                                                                                                  Delicatessen, a coffee house and a very good
                                                                                                                              3 delicatessen.
           Q. Yes.
A. No, I don't.
Q. When you wanted to contact M&S, would you
                                                                                                                                 And I had made plans to leave the state of Kentucky because after two years of having bypass surgery and not being able to get a job, I felt like I could return to the State of Mississippi, get a job
      call Mr. Scruggs or Ms. Bosarge?
                                                                                                                            8 in my own home state, which was either in Jackson-
9 my plans were to go to the Gulf Coast, and I had a
10 boat which was at my mother's house. I was going to
11 take the boat and have it repaired on the Gulf Coast,
12 and essentially, that was the communication, by fax.
A. Ms. Bosarge.

9 Q. During this deposition, you have described

10 a $100 to $150 amount of money provided to you by Mr.

11 Scruggs. You've testified that he provided you

12 $3,000 in cash. You've testified that he cosigned on

13 a $10,000 loan, a $12,000 loan, a $22,000 loan, which

14 has now been paid off, and he cosigned on a $6,000

15 loan and he's deeded to you a $105,000 house. What

16 has Mr. Scruggs told you about why he wanted to help
                                                                                                                            13 I hope I answered all your questions there.
                                                                                                                                       Q. Just one fax to him?
                                                                                                                                             One fax.
                                                                                                                            15
 16 has Mr. Scruggs told you about why he wanted to help
17 you and why he did these things for you, if
                                                                                                                           16 Q. Did you have a fax machine?
17 A. I did not have a fax machine, but fax
18 machines were available to me through various
     anything?

A. Nothing.
Q. Not a thing?
A. Not that I can recall.
                                                                                                                                sources, such as-- I believe Kinko's was the place
that I faxed it. I'm not sure, but it was downtown,
I believe Kinko's downtown. It could have been on
            Q. So you recall no discussion with Mr.
                                                                                                                            22 Hersborne Avenue but I don't remember that. I lived
                                                                                                                           23 near Hersborne Avenue, but my recollection of where 24 that was faxed, I don't recall.
23 Scruggs where he indicated to you why he was doing
      all these things for you?

A. I can recall no discussion.
                                                                                                                                       Q. How did you get his fax number?
                                                                                                         Page 327
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   Page 330
                                                                                                                             A. I made a phone call to the general information in Lexington, Kentucky-- Lexington-- I believe that's right, Lexington, Mississippi, which is down the road from Jackson. I asked for the phone
                       (Off the record.)
     Q. Mr. Williams, you were introduced to Mr. Scruggs by Don Barrett, correct?

A. Yes.
  2 MR. SMITH:
                                                                                                                             5 number. I got the phone number through the 6 secretary-- I got the fax number through the
    Q. Now, had you met Don Barrett before the meeting at which you and—at which he and Mr. Scruggs met you at Irv's Deli?
A. No.
Q. Had you communicated with Mr. Barrett prior to that time?
                                                                                                                                secretary.
Q. Was there a date set for the meeting in this exchange of faxes?
A. No. There was not.
Q. When was your next communication with Mr.
 10
                                                                                                                            10
                                                                                                                           11
            A. Yes.
12
                                                                                                                            12 Barrett?
            Q. In what form?
13
                                                                                                                                       A. I believe that I had a telephone
            A. I sent a fax to Mr. Barrett, to the best
                                                                                                                                 conversation with him sometime in between the time
     of my knowledge, during the-- well, it must have been
                                                                                                                                that I actually faxed him and the time that I
                                                                                                                          16 actually arrived in Jackson, which would have been-
17 if I can do some figuring, here, on March 3rd, I was
18 deposed. On March 4th, I submitted my counterclaim.
19 You and Mr. Ballantine, I believe that was on the
20 3rd; is that correct?
     January or February, somewhere in there. O. '94?
17
18 A. Of '94, yes.

19 Q. And why did you take it upon yourself to
20 fax Mr. Barrett-- from Kentucky; is that right?

21 A. From Kentucky is right. I took it upon
                                                                                                                                       Q. I don't recall.
                                                                                                                           21
22 myself to fax Mr. Barrett because, one, he was a 23 native Mississippian; two, I was facing divorce; 24 three, I was looking for a job; four, I believed I
                                                                                                                           A. Okay. I do. It's 1994, I believe, March 23 3rd. And on March the 4th was the counterclaim.
                                                                                                                                              And the plan that I had in mind was to
      would be in Jackson sometime or other in the near
                                                                                                                           25 leave shortly after the counterclaim because I was a
```

```
little exhausted. It was a-- it was planned to go down approximately on the 5th or the 6th. I don't
                                                                                                                                     Skaggs had coffee, and I don't know what the woman
                                                                                                                                 2 had.
      remember. But I was down there approximately on the
                                                                                                                                                What did you talk about with Mr. Scruggs
                                                                                                                                    and Mr. Barrett and this woman?

A. Well, I-- to the best of my knowledge, we
      6th, the 5th or the 6th. It's a one-day drive. And
       I met him either on that day or the next day, the
                                                                                                                                    were chatting about -- excuse the language -- but the bastards in the tobacco industry, in a very informal way. I had been somewhat badgered by the March 3rd appearance with you and with Mr. Ballantine, or the
      7th, somewhere around in there, shortly after I
       arrived, I believe.
             Q. Is this in March?
A. I said March 5th, 6th, 7th, somewhere in
                                                                                                                               10 fact that Fox and I had been working overtime on the
      there, 1994.
 10
      Q. Now, did you say that Mr.-- You did say, I believe, that Mr. Barrett faxed you back. Where did
                                                                                                                               11 4th to try to get a counterclaim, which was a
12 compulsory counterclaim, which was the anniversary of
      he fax you back at?

A. Where I was, Kinko's.
                                                                                                                               13 my bypass. And I think we joked a lot about the tone
 13

14 and temper and attitude of the lawyers in the tobacco
15 industry and how they behaved.
16 Q. What else did you talk about at this

                  So you just waited there for a response?
No. I asked—I think the way the fax—
 16
                                                                                                                                    A. The general nastiness of the tobacco
      now that I think about -- I have had time to think
                                                                                                                               17
       about it, I think the fax arrived, and then I picked
                                                                                                                               18
19 it up later on, the next day or sometime like that,
20 if it was Kinko's. I don't recall. There is another
21 place over there. I think it was Hersborne Avenue.
22 I'm not sure. I don't have a copy of it, so I can't
                                                                                                                              19 industry and how they were-how they were behaving, 20 and essentially, had treated me. I was a public
                                                                                                                              21 figure at the time.
                                                                                                                                          Q. What other subjects were talked about
                                                                                                                                    other than the behavior of the tobacco lawyers?

A I think I talked about personally, on my

23 give you an idea.
24 Q. Now, did you call Mr. Barrett when you
25 arrived in Jackson?

                                                                                                                               23
                                                                                                                               25 level, the fact that I was looking for a job in
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       Page 335
                                                                                                           Page 332
                                                                                                                                    Jackson, Mississippi, and elsewhere. And that it was

 I called his office.

                                                                                                                                 2 very difficult for me to live in Kentucky. That I
                   All right.
                   And I called from my mother's home. Did you talk to him?
                                                                                                                                 3 had had a very hard time.
                                                                                                                                That I was probably going to be divorced.
I remember saying that I was on the verge of being divorced because Sherrie had approached me in January
  5
                   He called me back later.
                   And what was the conversation?
                   That we would meet at Irv's Delicatessen.
                                                                                                                                7 and February on the same matter. I told the 8 children, et cetera. There was a great deal of
             Q. When; that night or later?

A. It would be in the late afternoon of that
                                                                                                                                    sympathy about that.
                                                                                                                              And essentially, the matter turned to what
My qualifications were, in terms of teaching. And
And although I was fairly well-known, in terms of the
my gress, Mr. Barrett was aware and I think he-well, I
dot the idea that he kind of thought of me as a hero,
and I think he said that. And that there was a great
      same day. I don't remember whether it was the 6th or the 7th. I was job hunting.
 12
                   And who was present when you went to Irv's
      Deli?
 13
      A. The room was rather crowded. There were quite a number of customers. The waiter, waitresses, others were present. I believe that I was sitting in one of the middle booths-- no, not a booth, but a
                                                                                                                              16 deal of experience, in his past, where he had felt
17 oppressed, that he had lost a lot, that he had taken
18 on an industry by himself, and I admired him.
19 And I don't remember talking to Mr.
20 Skaggs, Mr. Scruggs at all during the conversation.
21 I was talking more with Mr. Barrett. Mr. Skaggs just
      table. And I was there by myself for some time. I don't remember how long. Maybe 30 minutes, an hour.

And presently, a man whom I had never seen before walked through the door, and he looked like a
 19
20
      lawyer. And it was my impression that he was not accompanied by anyone. He walked through the door.
                                                                                                                              22 seemed to be sitting there listening. And that's why
23 I thought he was with the FBI or with the tobacco
       And there are several entrances to Irv's. There's
                                                                                                                              24 company, for some reason or another. He was--
 25 the mall and then there is the outside entrance, et
                                                                                                                                                It was later learned, during the
                                                                                                           Page 333
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       Page 336
  1 cetera. And he came through the entrance where you 2 pay, with the cashier's desk.

3 And we shook hands, set down and ordered-4 I was drinking a dark beer. That's all I can 5 recall. And I think shortly thereafter, a Mr.- What
                                                                                                                                    conversation, I understood that he was from the Gulf

    Coast. My ideal has always been to return to where
    my grandmother lived, to live in the Gulf Coast, and

                                                                                                                                    I've spent quite a bit of time here.
                                                                                                                                And I mentioned that I had been looking for jobs in Mississippi, and that I was failing, at least in terms of the jobs that were available to me
       I thought to be another man, a tall man came in, and
  7 I think he came from another direction. I'm not
                                                                                                                                   in Kentucky. It's hard to go to Kentucky and be called a thief, and to be called a person who steals
      sure. And I really wasn't sure if he was sitting at
 9 the same place because he was sitting at a separate to table. They were small tables. That's my 11 recollection. And there was a woman, a dark-haired woman with him. I don't-- I don't know her name.
                                                                                                                              10 things, and then have your children come home to you 11 and then try to get a job outside. It's very
                                                                                                                               12 difficult.
 13 And I thought he was Mr. Skaggs. So those people
                                                                                                                                          Q. What conversation did you have with Mr.
                                                                                                                              13 Q. what conversation did you have with Mr.

14 Scruggs at Irv's Delicatessen?

15 A. As I said, very little conversation with

16 him. At one point, he interrupted and said, I know a

17 dean or he knows a college person on the Gulf Coast.

18 Or maybe it was Mr. Barrett, I don't remember, who
       were present. I assume they were in the same party.
      And later, I found out they were.

Q. And did Mr. Barrett introduce you to Mr.
 16
 17
 18 A. He introduced me at some point, but I felt
19 he was-- I was-- I felt his name was Skaggs. I
                                                                                                                              19 said this, do you know this person. And I think Mr.
20 Barrett said he knew someone in the college area.
20 thought his name was Skaggs and I still did for a
21 long time. And I thought he was with the FBI or was
22 a tobacco person. I was very scared. I wasn't
23 really sure that this was Mr. Barrett, even.
                                                                                                                             And it was the time of year when you're
looking, if you're in looking for a job. And having
not taught in 11 years, I really had some liabilities
and I had to have somebody maybe who could help me in
```

24 But we sat down and we chatted. And I 25 don't think we ate anything, but we did-- I think Mr.

25 some way or other. And he volunteered to do that.

Condenselt Mcrrell Williams B&W v. amams Page 349 threatened their security and their schools. Their feeling about how they felt about their dad, who was being called a thief in the press, and they didn't 1 would look behind my back and I would see someone. 2 something. And I remember particularly being in the lobby of Sherrie's office building, and there were three gentlemen. And they were more or less following me. They were scouting me. And I left the know how to deal with it. Q. Who was calling you a thief in the press?

A. Well, they were-- Mr. Ballantine was the 6 A. Well, they were—Mr. Ballantine was the
7 first person who actually called me a thief. And a
8 theft had occurred, and this was in October,
9 September 29th, 1993. This was picked up
10 subsequently by the press, and Brown & Williamson was
11 interviewed on a number of occasions in the press
12 during '93 and '94 concerning that issue.
13 Q. Was this related to the removal of certain
14 documents by you from Brown & Williamson's premises?
15 A. Yes, it was, I assume. The purpose of—
16 MR. SMITH: building, walked away. And they had apparently followed me down into the vicinity where the kids The way the matter started, in terms of service of process was, particularly difficult for my children to live with on this-- On the 29th of 13 September, when I was served, approximately 3:00 in 14 the afternoon, pulled back some time here, in 1993, 15 there were—there was a man who arrived, according 16 to the children, around 1:30 or 2:00 in the afternoon 17 in a vehicle and began knocking on all of the doors 17 Are you going to let there be testimony 18 from this side about documents but not from this 18 around the house.

19 We lived in a cul-de-sac. We had a very 20 comfortable kind of house. A police captain lived 19 side? 20 MR. ROYALS: 21 next door to us, a lady-- very nice neighborhood 22 lived next to us. And he knocked on every door--That question, I don't have any problem
with that question. It wasn't related to documents. 23 according to the children, he knocked on every door 24 in the neighborhood and said, where is this man, and 25 when is he coming home, and we want to know all about A. I was called a thief in the press 24 principally because I was called a thief in the 25 courtroom, and the courtroom took the attitude that Page 350 Page 353 1 him, et cetera, et cetera.
2 Well, my children-- my first child arrived
3 coming back. I left-- I was at Sherrie's at the
4 time, which was on Barstown Road. I left in a 1 this was a fair and a decent way to treat me, without answering—being able to answer, through my
asswering—being able to answer, through my
attorney, for two and a half years approximately.
Regardless of how it was viewed by this side or that
side, we felt—my attorneys felt that I could not
answer questions from the press.
My children felt the brunt of this, at s truck. I came and I got about a block down the road, 6 which was about five blocks long, before we hit the 7 cul-de-sac, and there was a sheriff's car or a man 8 school, and they were sort of isolated to a certain 9 extent. They both went to a Catholic school. And 8 who was obviously identifiable as someone who was 9 ready to identify me. And then I looked down the 10 road. There was another one. And he was passing 11 back and forth. And in all, I saw three cars, three 10 they had psychological problems.

11 I was worried that certainly during the
12 summer of 1993, that I was going to be shot.
13 Q. Why were you worried about that? What
14 caused you that concern?
15 A. Because of the affiliate law firms that
16 Paul & Williamser had not reconcern! Went 12 cars. And when I got close to the house, to the 14 part where I turned, both my children came running at 15 me. And they were screaming and yelling and saying, 16 dad, dad, that's-- something is going on. There is a 17 man at this house. He's knocking on all the doors. 18 And I says, now, wait. Don't be-- you know, take it 19 easy. Don't be afraid. It's okay. They were 16 Brown & Williamson had, not necessarily Wyatt,
17 Tarrant & Combs, but Shook, Hardy & Bacon. I felt
18 like Shook, Hardy & Bacon was perfectly capable of
19 doing the job. Both Fox and I were worried, in terms
20 of our families. We had insinuated threats from time
21 to time. This is in '93.

22 When it broke-- when the news broke-23 O Let me interpret you and ask your- excuse 20 children. And they were children. 20 children. And they were children.
21 Q. Did this cause you apprehension?
22 A. I think-- well, Sara, the youngest, was
23 particularly frightened for me. I don't think she
24 was frightened for herself. Maybe she was. Jennifer
25 had just gotten home-- they came home about the same 23 Q. Let me interrupt you and ask you-excuse 24 me for interrupting you, but let me ask you this. At 25 that time, I take it you were involved in controversy Page 354 Page 351 with Brown & Williamson.
 A. Brown & Williamson joined the lawsuit only
 a day or so after Wyatt, Tarrant & Combs filed their 1 time, but one of them was in public school at the 2 time and one was in Catholic school. One was
3 finishing up Catholic school. And they were—they
4 wanted to follow me, but I told them to go off to, injunction. 5 Q. And did you have any legal disputes going 6 on with any other entity at that time that would make you know, somewhere else and there was-- they gave me the details. you, perhaps, conclude that they were the ones that were causing you problems rather than Brown & Williamson? A man had come there. And Jennifer had 8 been there for, I don't know, an hour. Well, 9 actually an hour because she came home first, and the 10 man had been sitting in the driveway or outside of 11 the driveway, in the cul-de-sac. The neighbors A. No. Brown & Williamson had a public relations campaign and they had the ability to produce public relations statements to the press, and 12 were-- there were a couple of them that were looking 13 they did so. Q. Did you have any contact—and by you, I'm
talking about you or your family—during this period
of time from any private investigators? Were you
made to believe that you were being followed or could And, you know, I tried to explain to the kids it was just service. But it was an abusive, 15 16 horrendous and difficult and outrageous way of 17 handling this, especially around these two children, 18 and I will never forget that. 18 Q. How long did this-- how long did this-- I believe you described it as harassment. Did this harassment continue until you came to Mississippi?

A. That was the beginning. It was meant--19 A. During that period of time, I was being 20 followed. I was being pursued. I looked behind my 20 21 back at every point, especially during the summer, 22 when I was uncomfortable and not a public figure. 23 After I became a public figure in 21 22

MR. SMITH:

Object to the form of the question. A. According to the testimony that was given,

23

24

September or the early part of October, the news broke, I felt a little bit more comfortable. But I

Page 359

Page 357

Page 355

1 we would serve the-- we would serve, according to one
2 of the gentlemen who was-- not to get off the point,
3 but he was announced to the court as being my-- the
4 man who was in charge of the project in which I
5 worked. But I had never heard of him in my life, and
6 he was-- he said he could be very judicious or very
7 officious or very whatever, in terms of serving
8 process. Well, he was. And that's when it started.
9 And I think going back on that time
10 period, the children began-- and they started to see
11 a psychologist, there was a problem. I felt like-12 of course, I had had my bypass surgery. Sherrie had
13 to deal with the fact that I didn't have a job. And
14 then we had this on top of that. And Sherrie began
15 to feel some vibrations, in terms of her board and
16 from the activities that she was working in.
17 And at Christmas, there was a call that
18 came in, just shortly before-have a merry Christmas
19 from Brown & Williamson and Wyatt, Tarrant & Combs.
20 We considered this a threat and we-this was a few
21 days before Christmas Eve. We considered it a threat
22 and the office was closed. There were only a few
23 people there. But everybody was scared.

And during this time, I had called Ann
25 Northrop, who was a Republican, who was a

Page 358

1 And at the time, Judge Wine had still had
2 his injunction, where we couldn't talk. We couldn't
3 explain. And at every point, every turn, it was the
4 same thing. In the Wall Street Journal, there was an
5 article written by a Mr. Shapiro.
6 My children were given a hard time at
7 school.
8 MR ROYALS:
9 How old were you kids at this time?
10 THE WITNESS:
11 Well, let me figure because Jennifer was
12 in the 9th grade, and Sara was in the 10th grade at13 when this started. Sara was in the 8th grade and
14 Jennifer was in the 9th grade at the time it
15 started.
16 Now, at the point that I decided I had to
17 leave Kentucky, I had to get out of Kentucky-- it was
18 not necessarily to flea Kentucky. I know the
19 complaint says flea. But that was not the point. It
20 was that I was under the pressure of one day Sherrie
21 came home and said, I can't take this any more. We
22 are getting these phone calls. We are getting this
23 kind of-- we are getting-- you know, my work is being
24 jeopardized, et cetera, and you're not cleaning the
25 house, and you're not getting a job, and therefore, I

1 representative. And I remember she told me how they
2 had treated her in the legislature. She had a child
3 from-- well, she adopted a black child, and the good
4 'ole boys in the legislature, in the tobacco
5 business had squeezed her-6 MR. SMITH:
7 Let me object to this as being so far

The transfer of the legislature did to a representative, and I think this is far beyond the scope of discovery in this case, and I would object to it, continuing with this.

12 MR. CARROLL:
13 Q. You can answer.
14 A. I'll get to the point. She said she had
15 received so many phone calls because she had opposed
16 the tobacco industry, and that I was to expect this,
17 and that some of it was to be considered serious and
18 I would have to accept some of the things that

I guess I had no problem, so far as my own life, but my children were and did have a problem. At one point in time, Sara was on the school yard and someone came up to her and said, did you know that there is a hit man on your dad? And it's coming from the tobacco people. I had to deal with that.

So I went downstairs. I told the children
that we were going to get a divorce, and I was going
to try to get a job and get a job in Mississippi.
And that since they didn't have a relationship with
their mother, this was going to be very difficult for
them, and I knew it was.
But I left—and when I got all this
information on that particular time, I got down
here. And at the point I think that it became most
oppressive, it was certainly after May 7th, when the
Hills article appeared in the New York Times. I got
all sorts of calls. I still receive threatening
letters from California and all over the place.

O. Threatening letters about what?

A. Well, the last one I received was that you
don't deserve the Medal of Honor or something of that
sort, and that if you ever come down here, we're
going to do something to you, and don't ever come to
California. I wasn't going to come to California, et
cetera. But it was related to an article which
appeared in the Los Angeles Times, I think.
On one occasion, Brown & Williamson had
kept under seal some information, and then had
carefully prepared a public relations release, made

Sara came home, and she was crying and she
said, dad, is somebody going to kill you? And I
said, well, no, Sara. I don't think so. And I tried
to explain, as long as I'm a public figure, that
somebody is not going to kill me, but the feelings
were still there and it was hard to explain to Sara.
Sherrie, on the other hand, was getting a
lot of pressure, more than she deserved. I didn't
have a job. I felt like it was an impossibility to
get a job where you were being called a thief. And
this was regular. There were numerous career journal
articles that came out. It wasn't alleged theft. It
was the thief. The stolen documents. And in some
occasions, it was even worse than that.
In 1993, 1994 CEO Mr. Sandefur got in
front of Congress, and at the bidding of Mr. Bill
Paxton, who was from New York, who asked him on cue

18 the question, who was from few total, who asked limit of
18 the question, how did this happen, and do you intend
19 to prosecute this gentleman. I was sitting there
20 watching with someone. And the answer was, well,
21 this gentleman came in, this man we hired was hired
22 as a paralegal. He came in and he came in in the
23 middle—on the last day of his work on or a weekend
24 and he stole our documents. He was there for 11
25 hours.

Page 360

1 the public relations release saying that I had taken
2 the Fifth about 40 times, I think, the Fifth
3 Amendment. And I had, under the advice of attorney,
4 and I began to receive phone calls from the press
5 saying, well, you know, what did you do, and, you
6 know, so forth.
7 So once again, I had to go before the
8 camera and say, you know, this is my story, and
9 within three minutes, I had to explain what—why
10 they had issued their public relations release.
11 Brown & Williamson has constantly, over
12 the period of time that I have had any problems with
13 them, I supposedly fairly released these public
14 relations tidbits and I have not been able to
15 respond. I was not able to respond to the Wall
16 Street Journal article in which Mr. Shapiro
17 interviewed everybody. And—except me, and
18 certainly, my—anybody whoever knew me. And—
19 Q. Okay. Now, excuse me for interrupting
20 you.
21 A. There is one other point. Sara came down
22 here in May of 1994. She was literally at the point
23 of a nervous breakdown, and she spent a month with me
24 because she wanted to protect me. I was living at—
26 All right. Now, let me ask you something,

6 events that you've described?
7 A. When I first came down here, I came and I
8 had no doctor, and my-- during the summer, my pulse
9 rate was close to 115. I had-- I had high blood
10 pressure, well over the acceptable levels. I was
11 still on medication, which I-- the other doctor in

12 Kentucky had given me.

And when I did reach the doctor here, I

14 was-- he made certain adjustments to my medication.
15 And then during the period of time that it became
16 very, very difficult to survive here with the
17 children, I got the divorce.
18 The divorce was an obvious thing that was
19 going to happen. And that happened in November of
20 '95. And-- '94, I'm sorry. And the children left.
21 And then I went on-- I went into a clinical

21 And then I went on-- I went into a clinical
22 depression. I began to see a therapist early in
23 September of '94, and that therapist was here the
24 other day. And I've been seeing him ever since.
25 When I was in Louisville, I was also

Object to the form.

A. Sir, I could, yes, particularly-- I am just picking one out here. 19 21

22 MR. SMITH: Object to the witness testifying as to the content of the documents. 23

Object to the form of the question in that 9 this man may be able to tell you what is in some of 10 these documents. This man cannot make the legal conclusion about what they show or don't show.

13 MR. CARROLL:
14 Q. You could tell, from your own personal
15 knowledge, whether those documents or some of them
16 were evidence of crimes and illegal activity by and
17 on behalf of Brown & Williamson Corporation?

25 MR. ROYALS:

18 MR. SMITH:

A. I could.

Schroeder & Burdine (800) 765-8636

Page 361 - Page 366

11 O. Okay. And did you go-- Do you know where 12 the bullet hit? Did you hear it hit? 13 A. No. I left the track immediately. Q. Okay. But it's your testimony that you felt the air of the bullet go by your head? A. I did. 16 Was anybody else on the track? 17 A. No. 18 19 Q. No other witnesses to this? A. No. There was nobody on the track.
O. Did you report this incident to the 20 21

a week or-- and then there was a point where we were meeting twice a month.
 Q. Who was the next person you said anything to about being shot at? 16 A. I don't recall that.
Q. You don't recall? Did you tell it to 17 19 anybody within a week of when it happened?
20 A. You don't walk around telling people that
21 you've been shot at. I've told Fox that,
22 Q. You told Fox? 23 Yes. How long after the occurrence? 24

A. I don't remember. Pretty soon after.

Did you report it to anyone?

A. I have mentioned it before, and I think

22 police?

A. No, I did not.

Page 379 Q. Within weeks, months? Right after it happened, I think. Did he-- How often did you run at the Q. Did Richard Libey attend a therapy session O. track? with you and your therapist, doctor-- is it Herring?

A. He's not a doctor. It is Peter Herring. 3 4 Q. Did Mr. Libey attend a session with your-- I\_didn't run. I walked. A. Yes. He sat in. Q. How often did you walk at the track? Every day. 7 MR. SMITH: Q. Did you continue to walk at the track I have nothing further. after that? MR. CARROLL: 10 A. Well, there was a respite, but I did 11 continue, but I made sure that other people were Let me just make one statement for the li record. I think we probably need to get this out on the table right now. I mentioned this to you a 12 there. There were times when-I mean, as long as 13 there was somebody there, I didn't feel
14 uncomfortable. I walked regularly, always, simply
15 because I had problems with my calves. I couldn't 13 little bit, George, beforehand. But let me say
14 this. We are going to attend the deposition on
15 behalf of the defendants that we represent that are 16 scheduled for tomorrow, but I want to state on the 17 record that it is our position and we are going to 16 walk on the pavement. I walked at the Ocean 17 Q. Okay. You had indicated you thought your phone may have been tapped, but you-- you've had it checked, right? And when you had it checked, there 18 properly present it to the court that it is 19 absolutely ludicrous and absurd for me to be expected 20 to defend my clients in a lawsuit that centers on 19 20 21 weren't any taps?
22 A. I checked it after-- you filed your
23 lawsuit in 1995, and the house was swept. There was
24 nothing there. And, obviously, anything that was
25 investigated in November would have already been 21 certain documents that are-- that the witnesses that 22 we want to question about those documents, at least 23 one material witness, certainly the central witness 24 in this case is prohibited from discussing by an 25 injunction, a Kentucky injunction sought and obtained Page 380 Page 383 and maintained at the hands—by and at the hands of
 Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corporation.
 If Brown & Williamson wants to pursue our removed. Q. You have absolutely no evidence that your phone, in fact, was ever tapped, do you?

A. No. But my apartment was broken into sometime in-- when I was living in 3220 Cumberland 4 clients on the basis of matters related to these 5 documents and if we are going to be called upon to 5 6 defend them, then something is going to he tailed upon to
7 done about this Kentucky injunction. And we are not
8 going to continue to participate in farcical
9 depositions where we cannot even defend our clients
10 because we can't ask questions related to the claimed
11 privinged nature of those documents, and can't even Lane, at the Fort Bayou Apartments.
Q. And how was it-- how was entry made? Open the door. Was the door unlocked? A. No. It was just a very simple way of 10 getting in. Q. The door-12 get answers from a central figure because he's 13 prohibited by a Kentucky state court injunction 14 obtained by Brown & Williamson. A. A nonprofessional could get in, but a professional could get in.
Q. The door was forced open?
A. Yes. 13 Not fussing at you all. I'm just telling
you, that's going to be our position. And we are
going to go to the deposition tomorrow because it's 16 17 Was anything taken? 18 set, but we are going to object to any further
19 discovery, subject to our researching it and taking a
20 look at it. We are going to object to any further
21 discovery, depositions or otherwise, where we have to
22 go in with one hand tied behind our back attempting No. But I knew someone was there. How do you know that? Well, things were moved around. 18 19 Q. 20 21 And you have no idea who did that, do 22 you? A. I suspect, quite frankly, that it had some form 24 something to do with people with whom I had some form 25 of litigation going. 23 23 to defend our clients whose claim of deprivileging of these documents is directly related to the nature ofthem and whether the crime fraud exception applies. Page 381 Page 384 1 Q. But you have no- you have no evidence of 2 that. That's your suspicion. It's speculation, So I didn't mean to be making a speech,
but I want to put you all on notice that that's going 3 to be our position so you don't think we come up and 4 ambush you with it down the road. We are going to 5 have to let the Mississippi court, where this case is isn't it? A. I think it's pretty good speculation.
Q. Now, did you make a police report about 6 being tried, decide whether it's going to be bound by 7 a Kentucky injunction and whether we are going to be 8 allowed—be forced to an unfair disadvantage in this break-in? A. No, I didn't.
Q. Was the door damaged? 9 A. The door was not damaged. It was sort of 10 like you could push it in. There weren't any extra 9 attempting to defend our clients by that situation. 10 MR. SMITH: Jim, I'm not going to make a speech. I'm going to make a very short statement. You and I disagree about what this lawsuit is about and we will 11 locks on it 12 Q. 13 lock? Well, did it require somebody to pick the 13 I don't think it required anything but a for some time to come. 15 credit card.
16 Q. The only reason you know there was a
17 break-in is you recall some things being in different I will simply ask that since Mr. Scruggs'
deposition has been noticed for October 2nd and 3rd,
that you promptly file whatever motion you're going 18 to file because we very much want to have a ruling 19 before that date so we can proceed with this case 20 expeditiously. We want to move this case. And if 18 places?

A. I really didn't want anybody to know I was

23 Q. Did you tell anybody else that your 24 apartment had been broken into?

A. Yes.
Q. Did you report this break-in to the

19 20

21 apartment manager?

A. Of course not.

21 there is an issue to be decided, let's get it

25 are not going to resolve this on the record and I

I understand what your position is, and we

22 decided.

MR. CARROLL:

23